Quick Update on Network Stack Parallelism



Robert N. M. Watson

Security Research Group Computer Laboratory University of Cambridge

FreeBSD Developer Summit 15 May 2008

The Big Picture

- TCP/IP MPSAFE since FreeBSD 5.3
- 6.x, 7.x, and 8.x non-trivially lower overhead, improve lock granularity, greater parallelism
- Improving highly concurrent workloads
 - Many cores, many threads
 - BIND9/nsd/memcached (UDP)
 - Apache (TCP)
 - MySQL/PostgreSQL (Local Sockets, TCP)



UDP: Problems

- BIND9, nsd, memcached
- One(ish) sockets per process, many threads Tens/hundreds of thousands of simultaneous clients TCP neither feasible nor desirable Very high contention on a number of locks pcbinfo for input path inpcb during input and output processing receive socket buffer lock for thread receive Excessive overhead from socket buffer routines



UDP Solutions

Motivates two locking improvements

Read-write locks for pcbinfo and inpcb

Read lock most common paths

Reduction of socket layer overhead

sosend_dgram, soreceive_dgram

UDP itself no longer a source of contention in these workloads – significant performance win

Still contention sources in receive socket buffer, routing locks, ifnet transmit queue locks



TCP Problems

- Apache, MySQL, PostgreSQL
 - Server: one listen socket, many data sockets spread over many threads or processes
 - Client: many simultaneous unrelated sockets
- Very high contention on several locks
 - pcbinfo for input path and user state transition paths inpcb contention during send/receive socket buffer contention



TCP Solutions

Improvements in lock granularity, primitives

sblock now optimized sx lock

Read-write locking for pcbinfo and inpcb

Primarily pcbinfo, not inpcb, due to complex per-TCP state

Somewhat complicated because cannot tell a priori from header flags if global state transition will be triggered when looking up connection

Decompose pcbinfo lock into connection groups

Reduce necessarily exclusive access to globals

inpcb lock, socket buffer send/receive, routing, ifnet transmit queue locks remain significant

Stack Parallelism

Direct dispatch vs. netisr

Exploring multiple netisrs to improve loopback performance, but direct dispatch remains win

Multiple input queues

10gbps cards/drivers support 4+ input queues, represented as (n) ithreads balanced with RSS

Multiple output queues

Kip has prototype in Perforce, some discussion of ordering issues

Need to align stack, driver, card flow logic ^{15 May 2008} UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

The Plan

- Convert inpcb/pcbinfo mutex to rwlock in 8.x, 7.x
- Move to read locking wherever possible in UDP for pcbinfo and inpcb in 8.x, 7.x
- Move to input path read locking of pcbinfo in TCP input path where possible in 7.x, 7.x
- Prototype connection group decomposition of pcbinfo in 8.x, MFC may be possible
- Retain non-loopback direct dispatch default
- Use parallel netisr for loopback, IPSEC, etc

